

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DDI ICATION NO	T +-1+	DIC DATE	EIRCT NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,752 07/29/2002		Benoit Couet	US57.0357-WO 8795	8795	
7	590	11/04/2004		EXAM	INER
Schlumberger	r Doll Re	esearch		MILLER, RO	SE MARY
Intellectual Pro	perty La	w Department			
36 Old Quarry	Road	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ridgefield, CT 06877				2856	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/088,752	COUET ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rose M Miller	2856					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15,19-22 and 36-38</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	▼ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 1,2,4-11 and 15.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>25-32,36 and 37</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12,13,16-22,33-35 and 38-41</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/088,752

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Changing the dependency of claims 25-32 to newly submitted independent claim 19 raises the issue of new matter as the originally filed specification does not support the use of a dual monitoring system comprising an acoustic device (as found in proposed claim 19) and another monitor sensor. Furthermore, such a combination of sensors has not been previously considered and would constitute a new issue that requires further search and/or consideration.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800